

# ASSESSING POTENTIAL RISKS FROM EXPOSURE TO NATURAL URANIUM IN WELL WATER: NAMBE, NEW MEXICO

A.C. Hakonson-Hayesa, P.R. Fresqueza, & F.W. Whickerb

<sup>a</sup>Environment, Safety, and Health Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545

<sup>b</sup>Department of Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523



TABLE 4. Estimated U Concentration in Kidney (µg U g -1) for

### ABSTRACT

Over 50% of the wells in the Nambe region of northern New Mexico exceed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's recommended drinking water standard of 20 µg. L<sup>-1</sup> (ppb) for <sup>321</sup>), the highest in the area was measured at 1200 µg. U.F. The purpose of this study was to estimate the radiological and toxicological doses from the (1) ingestion nts state, was or estimate an anti-grame amonogeness are some the second radish2lettuce>sauash>tomato. The estimated committed effective dose for 70 years of radish-Erticc squark-tomoto. The estimated committed effective dose for Nu year 20 maximum confinuous exposutes, in the three pathways to well water consistent group [200 gg U  $L^+$ , was 0.17 m/s; (17 mem) with a corresponding kidney burden g 0.8 gg U  $g^+$ , was 0.17 m/s; (17 mem) with a corresponding kidney burden g 0.8 gg U  $g^+$  is the totacological done was not significant and the totacological done was not significant and the totacological done was not significant with the totacological done was not significant with the totacological done was not significant with the contributed 99% not be traditioned on the contributed 99% not be traditioned on the contributed 99% not be traditioned on the contributed 95% not be radiation of the contributed 95% not be recommended to the contributed 95% not be recommended t

### INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

In 1995, the Ground Water Protection and Remediation Bureau of the State of New Mexico's Environment Department (SNED) sampled 72 private wells in the Nambe eigon in nonthern New Mexico (McQuillan and Monse; 1998) (Fig. 1). Thirty-seven (52%) of these wells contained uranium (U) concentrations exceeding the Environmental Protection Agency; 6EPA) proposed limit of 20 ng UL-Vi (SEPEA 1996). NMED found U concentrations ranging from below detection limits up to 920 ng UL-Vi Elevated levels of U in Nambe well water are associated with a low-grade U depost and with the oxidizing and reducing groundwater environments found in the region (McQuillan and Pine, 1995). Solide uranyl complexes are produced in oxidizing environments (De Viro et al., 1984). Currently, numerous wells in the Nambe area are used as primary sources of rinking and irrigation water for home gardens. Natural U is classified as both a drinking and irrigation water for home gardens. Natural U is classified as both a radiological and chemo-toxicological agent, and it is the only radioactive substance for which chemical toxicity is the limiting factor in this assessment (Wenn et al., 1985). Utilization of water from these wells has raised concerns of potential radiological and toxicological risks to human consumers.

water, with varying U concentrations, in four common vegetable species. The data were used to estimate potential ratiological and toxicological doses based on the (1) water, (2) consumption of irrigated vegetables, and (3) inhalation of resuspended soil irrigated with the well water.



## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four wells, including one control (<1 µg U L\*) and three treatment wells (150, 500, and 1200 µg U L\*), were selected for study. Well waters were analyzed for pH, EC, Ca, Na, Mg, K, P, total U, NO, N, NH, N, TKN, carbonates, hi-carbonates, and total dissolved solids.

Soil was collected from approximately 50 sites and mixed (Fig. 2). Four composite baseline soil samples were collected and

analyzed for chemical and physical properties (Table 1).
Sixty-four 19-1, pots were filled with approximately 15 to f soil, labeled, and placed into a 4.5 by 6.0 m cage on an elevated platform (Fig. 3). Treatment pots were arranged in a complete randomized block design with four replicates using a random

platform (erg. 5). Freatment post were arranges in a comparative properties of the constraint of the constraint post when a matter stable.

\*Each block consisted of four plant species lettuce and tomato (exposed crops), squash (protected crops), and radish (root crops).

\*Plants were irrigated to field capacity with the four treatment waters (Fig. 4). Produce and edible plants were collected at maturation (Fig. 5). Samples were thoroughly rinared with tap water (cl jag U.1-), towel-dried, placed in paper bags, and dried or 46 hours at 75°. C. Samples were then ground using a Wiley mill and submitted for analysis. Vertically-rinaced (composets) with the comparative properties of the composet of the comparative vertically-rinaced (composets) and the comparative properties of the comparative product of the addition of water containing using the concentrations. In addition, the Wileycoon Ranks unter (follulater and Moffe, 1973) was used to assess differences at the 0.05 probability level in U uptake between plant species irrigated with varying

Of Concentrations of C.

U concentrations in produce were converted to a fresh mass basis for ingestion calculations using site-specific dry/wet ratios (Fresquez and Ferenbaugh, 1999) for each species. The dose conversion factor for bone surface, rather than red marrow, was

(reseque an retentage), 1997 for each species. The one conversion factor for tone strates, rainer than red marrow, was used (Durbin, 1998 obe.) (CED) were estimated based on 1, 50, and 70 year exposures via water and produce injection and soil inhalation. Doese were estimated based on mean and maximum injection and inhalation rates as defined in the EPA Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1997).

Factors Hambook (USFPA, 1998).

Washington rate was beset upon mean ingestion rates + two std dev, while the maximum inhalation rate was based on recommended factors including inhalation rate and duration of exposure (USFPA, 1997). Ingestion absorption fractions and dose conversion factors were obtained from ICRP (1995) while those relating to inhalation were obtained from ICRP (1995) while those relating to inhalation were obtained from ICRP (1995). . U concentration in the kidney was obtained by converting the annual intake (Bq), estimated in the radiological dose calculations, to grams using the specific activity of  $U(1.24\times 10^4~Bq~g^3U)$ . This amount was converted to  $\mu gU$  and then divided by reference man's kidney mass (310 g) resulting in  $\mu gU$   $g^3$  kidney (Shleien, 1992).















### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Net average U concentrations in irrigated soil increased linearly with increasing U concentration in irrigation water. Four (Na, EC, OM, and U) out of the thirteen chemical constituents analyzed had significant differences (p<0.05) between baseline soil and soil irrigated with the three treatment waters (Table 1).

The general order of U uptake between the various species was radishelettuce-squash-tomato (Table 2). A regression analysis showed that U uptake within species was highly correlated to U concentration in water. Observed differences in species uptake were consistent with those of Morishima et al. (1977), who found that leafy (lettuce) and root (radish) vegetables have a higher uptake of U than berries (tomato and pumpkin), and Lakshmanan and Venkateswarlu (1988), who found radish root uptake greater than bottle gourd

### Radiological Dose

Radiological Dose

Based on average values of ingestion and inhalation, a CED of about 0.1 mSv was estimated for 50 and 70 year exposures to water containing 1200 µg U L-1 (Table 3). For maximum intake conditions, the estimated CED for 50 and 70 year 3). For maximum mane continuous, use estimated ELD for 30 and 70 year exposures were 0.16 and 0.17 mSy, respectively. Drinking water contributed roughly 99% of the total dose for both mean and maximum exposure conditions to Nambe well water. Vegetable in negotion contributed approximately 1% of the dose and soil inhalation was insignificant. Over 99% of the CED resulted from dose to bone. This result is consistent with Bosshard et al. (1992) and Durbin (1998), who state that bone is the limiting tissue for radiological risk due to exposure to natural

### Uranium Concentrations in the Kidney

Exposure to well water (via all pathways) containing up to 1200 µg U L<sup>-1</sup> resulted in a kidney burden of 0.8 µg g<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4). Wrenn et al. (1985) recommended using a threshold limit of 1 µg U g<sup>-1</sup>. Well water ingestion contributed 99% to the kidney dose

TABLE 1. Chemical and Physical Properties of Baseline Soil and Soil Irrigated with Water Containing Various Concentrations of Natural U							
Natural	Ca	Mg	Na	K	EC	CEC	pH
U Level (µg L·1)	$(mg\ Kg^{\text{-}1})$	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$(mg\ Kg^{\text{-}1})$	$(mg\ Kg^{\text{-}1})$	$(dS\ m^{\text{-}1})$	(cmol (+) Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
Baseline <sup>a</sup>	$157 \pm 16$	$16 \pm 2.4$	$52 \pm 4.6$	$118 \pm 3.3$	$1.4\pm0.1$	$8.2 \pm 1.0$	$7.8 \pm 0.1$
<1	146	17	78 <sup>b</sup>	94	1.3b	19	7.6
150	171	18	143	90	1.6	21	7.7
500	129	13	258	84	1.8	19	7.9
1200	245	30	437	104	3.1	19	7.9
Natural	P	NO <sub>1</sub> -N	NH <sub>4</sub> -N	TKN	OM	U	Texture
U Level (µg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$(mg\ Kg^{\text{-}1})$	$(mg\ Kg^{-1})$	$(g\ Kg^{\text{-}1})$	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
Baseline	20 ± 1.3	29 ± 3.3	$7.3 \pm 0.8$	$1680 \pm 755$	16±25	$2.3 \pm 3.0$	Sandy loam
<1	15	31	3.7	989	145	1.69	
150	15	22	3.6	946	15	1.9	
500	16	27	3.2	989	16	3.2	
1200	17	24	20	902	17	4.1	

TABLE 2. Mean (±SD) Concentrations in Edible Crop Tissue Irrigated with Water Containing Various Levels of Natural U (µg U Kg¹ dry weight)

Squash

 $45 \pm 19B$ 

 $285 \pm 49B$ 

TABLE 3. Estimated CED (mSv) for Mean and Maximum Exposure to Well Water Containing Various Levels of Natural U via Water and Produce Ingestion and Soil

150 μg U L-1 500 μg U L-1 | S0 Year | 70 Year | 50 Year 70 Year

Radish

38 ± 1.9C 161 ± 70B 1306 ± 392A 1370 ± 191A

Lettuce

82 <u>+</u> 18A 79 <u>+</u> 20A

495 ± 193A 441 ± 140A

2879 ± 830A 2304 ± 393A

Water U

150

500

(µg U L-1)

Tomato

18 ± 3.5C

67 ± 22.0C

Mean and Maximum Exposures to Well Water Con Various Levels of Natural U via Water and Produce Ingestion and Soil Inhalation 150  $(\mu g \ U \ L^{-1})$   $(\mu g \ U \ L^{-1})$   $(\mu g \ U \ L^{-1})$   $(\mu g \ U \ L^{-1})$ Mean Exposure 32 × 104 32 × 102 1.2 × 104  $5.6 \times 10^{-4}$   $5.8 \times 10^{-2}$   $2.0 \times 10^{-1}$  $4.8 \times 10^{-1}$ 6.8 × 10<sup>-6</sup> 5.6 × 10<sup>-2</sup> 2.0 × 10<sup>-1</sup> 4.6 × 10  $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$   $9.8 \times 10^{-2}$   $3.4 \times 10^{-1}$ 

•U concentrations in vegetable crops irrigated with Nambe well water averaged 8 to 35 times higher than baseline Dose estimates, based on water and vegetable ingestion

and soil inhalation, indicate that exposure to well water containing up to 1200 µg U L<sup>-1</sup> will not result in significant radiological health risks. U concentrations in the kidney did not exceed the 1 µg U g<sup>-1</sup> kidney threshold for maximum exposure to Nambe

well water containing up to 1200 ug U L-1

radiological and toxicological dose to human consumers. This indicates that treating U in well water or utilizing other sources of drinking water will significantly reduce doses to Nambe area residents who are dependent on well

•The current limit proposed by the EPA is both very conservative and protective of the kidney under continuous long-term exposures based upon the assumption that the toxicity threshold of  $1\,\mu g~U~g^{-1}$  kidney and the most conservative safety factor (50) are valid

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the New Mexico Environment
Department, particularly Dennis McQuillan and Rob Pline
Thanks to Dr. Ken Barbaric, Department of Agronomy, an
to Des, Shawki Ibrahim and Tom Hakonson, Department of or editing work, and to Rhonda Rob